Procedure International Journal of Science and Technology

(International Open Access, Peer-reviewed & Refereed Journal)

(Multidisciplinary, Monthly, Multilanguage)

ISSN : 2584-2617 (Online)

Volume- 2, Issue- 9, September 2025

Website- www.pijst.com DOI- 10.62796/pijst

Exploring the Realm of Quantum Computing: Theoretical Frameworks and Emerging Challenges in Computer Science

Sarthak Singh

B.Tech(Computer Science), KIET (Ghaziabad)

Dr. Shailendra Singh

PhD, CMJ University, Jorabat, Meghalaya

Abstract

Quantum computing has emerged as a transformative paradigm in computer science, bridging the principles of quantum mechanics with computational theory. Unlike classical computing, which relies on deterministic bits, quantum computation harnesses qubits that exploit superposition and entanglement to achieve parallelism and correlations beyond classical limits. This paper explores the theoretical frameworks of quantum computing, beginning with the origins of qubits and extending to quantum circuits and quantum Turing machines. These models underpin algorithms such as Shor's factorization and Grover's search, which demonstrate exponential or quadratic speedups over the best-known classical approaches. The potential applications of these methods span cryptography, optimization, artificial intelligence, and large-scale quantum simulations, offering prospects for breakthroughs across scientific and industrial domains. However, these advantages are tempered by formidable challenges, including decoherence, error correction, scalability, and hardware constraints. Quantum states are fragile, requiring precise control and error rates far lower than current technological capabilities. Furthermore, computational complexity theory underscores limitations that persist even in the quantum model, raising questions about which problems remain intractable. By synthesizing theoretical insights with emerging challenges, this study highlights both the promise and the limitations of quantum computing, emphasizing the interdisciplinary collaboration required to advance the field toward practical utility and technological maturity.

Keywords: Quantum Computing, Qubits, Superposition, Entanglement, Quantum Algorithms, Scalability.

Introduction

Ever since the concepts of quantum theory have encompassed computer science, it

has been hoped that perhaps the two could aid each other. Schro˜dinger even expressed the startling opinion that quantum theory's time evolution might itself be interpreted as an instance of computing (Boughzala et al., 2022). This paper contributes to an extensive body of information by providing a detailed treatment of quantum computing's theoretical underpinnings while also highlighting the foremost emerging challenges. Numerous considerations underscore the importance of this endeavor. Quantum computing promises to overcome the limitations of classical computational models by leveraging quantum-mechanical phenomena such as superposition and entanglement. A comprehensive understanding of these principles and an assessment of the related impediments are therefore of paramount significance for the advancement of novel computational paradigms (Duckering, 2023).

The theoretical framework begins by introducing quantum bits (qubits), which serve as the fundamental units of quantum information, capable of existing simultaneously in multiple states. These qubits reside in a superposition of basis states, with measurement outcomes described by a probability distribution, embodying the core property of superposition that enables parallel processing. Further, qubits can be mutually correlated through entanglement, a distinct quantum effect exploited extensively across quantum information tasks and computations (Martonosi & Roetteler, 2019). Quantum circuits offer a model for implementing algorithms and information protocols in physical systems, positioning themselves as a more faithful representation compared to the quantum Turing machine. Besides algorithms, these circuits form the foundation of analysis in most experimental endeavors probing quantum computing. Quantum algorithms, exemplified by Shor's and Grover's, leverage these properties to achieve computational advantages unattainable within classical frameworks. Yet, the very characteristics granting such benefits—fragile quantum states and intricate qubit interactions—introduce formidable challenges in practical realization.

The subsequent examination of emerging challenges delineates the primary obstacles to scalable quantum computation. Foremost among these is decoherence, a phenomenon wherein qubits readily lose coherence, thereby corrupting any ongoing computation. While quantum error correction techniques provide some mitigation, they necessitate physical error rates substantially below contemporary capabilities. The scalability problem intensifies these concerns, demanding hardware capacities far beyond present reach to support increasingly complex algorithms. Moreover, computational complexity considerations place constraints on the efficient solvability of generic problems, even within the quantum domain. A critical appraisal of these interrelated difficulties therefore constitutes a prerequisite for assessing the feasibility of any large-scale quantum device.

Origins of Quantum Computing

The theoretical foundations of quantum computing trace back to 1980, when Richard Feynman cited developments in quantum theory, notably the quantum-mechanical interpretation of the "world wavefunction"; the insight emerged that computers based on quantum principles might operate much faster than classical machines (G. Rieffel & Polak, 1998).

Quantum bits, or qubits, serve as the foundational units of quantum information, analogous to bits in classical computing. Unlike classical bits, qubits can simultaneously represent both 0 and 1, owing to the principles of superposition and entanglement. Superposition enables a qubit to exist in multiple states at once, effectively allowing quantum computers to process numerous possibilities in parallel; contemporary commercial processors incorporate superposition of up to 20 disorders. Entanglement

introduces correlations between qubits that enhance the ability to manage various possibilities simultaneously, thereby facilitating tasks such as exhaustive search even in a quantum context.

Scope of Quantum Computing

Quantum computing bridges computer science with quantum mechanics phenomena such as superposition and entanglement (Boughzala et al., 2022). The field, both theoretical and practical, remains in formative stages and continues to evolve. Its very nature challenges long-held working assumptions and well-established frameworks—scientific, technological, and industrial. Yet the promise to usher in a new computing era is considerable and draws notable attention. Quantum computing originated with a seminal 1982 paper by Feynman, though the conceptual underpinning dates to the birth of quantum mechanics. The belief is that by increasing computing power, even complex systems become computationally tractable. This is often framed in terms of finance and pharmaceuticals, or cryptography and security. But the outlook is much broader. From nuclear physics to material science or education to artificial intelligence, quantum computing proves relevant across many sectors. The theory rests on fundamental units known as quantum bits, or qubits, representing both 0 and 1 simultaneously, as opposed to classical computing bits that assume either value (Basak Upama et al., 2022). Four primary frameworks describe the interaction and combining of qubits: superposition—the ability of qubits to possess multiple states at once (Martonosi & Roetteler, 2019) ; entanglement—a particular pairing where a qubit's measurement instantaneously determines another's state; quantum circuits—networks of interconnected gates manipulating qubits; and quantum Turing machines—extending Turing's classical model to consider qubits. It is on these foundations that a number of algorithms have been developed. Shor's factoring algorithm and Grover's search algorithm stand out as prominent examples showcasing the computational advancements enabled by such theoretical bases.

Significance of Quantum Computing

Quantum computing has re-emerged in recent years as one of the most significant research areas across various disciplines, including computer science, engineering, mathematics, physics and information theory. The quantum computational model has grown considerably in importance for understanding the fundamental limits of computation and the design of faster quantum algorithms. Quantum computing utilizes a fundamentally different mode of information acquisition and processing that exploits quantum-mechanical phenomena such as superposition and quantum entanglement, which enable a class of algorithms that outperform their classical counterparts. As the construction of devices capable of manipulating quantum bits appears increasingly plausible, there is a growing need for exploring efficient ways to harness quantum computational power (Martonosi & Roetteler, 2019). This paradigm holds the promise to solve certain widely relevant computational problems, such as integer-factorisation and discrete logarithm, exponentially faster than traditionally possible and to simulate large quantum systems beyond the reach of present-day computational methods (Basak Upama et al., 2022). Should large-scale quantum computers become available, they could revolutionize the computer industry by enabling new types of applications, and the field has recently grown into one of the most exciting research topics at the interface of physics, computer science and engineering (Boughzala et al., 2022).

Theoretical Frameworks

Quantum bits, or qubits, serve as the fundamental units of information in quantum computation. Unlike classical bits, qubits exploit two intrinsic properties of quantum

systems: superposition and entanglement. Superposition enables a qubit to exist simultaneously in multiple states, while entanglement correlates the states of two or more qubits in ways that are impossible classically (Boughzala et al., 2022). These properties underpin quantitative differences between quantum and classical computation. To reason rigorously about quantum computation it is therefore necessary to consider models extending finitary classical models to accommodate superposition and entanglement. Among the different formulations of quantum computing, quantum circuits and the quantum Turing machine represent the main computational frameworks. Quantum circuits extend classical Boolean circuits by incorporating quantum gates that manipulate qubits through unitary operations. The quantum Turing machine generalizes the classical Turing machine to operate on qubit strings, allowing for a general theoretical description of quantum algorithms.

Quantum algorithms have been developed to realize the computational advantages suggested by the quantum circuit and Turing-machine models. Two emblematic examples include Shor's factoring algorithm and Grover's database search algorithm. Shor's algorithm factors integers and solves discrete-logarithm problems in polynomial time relative to the size of the input, whereas the best-known classical algorithms require subexponential time. Grover's algorithm provides a quadratic speed-up for searching an unsorted database. These results reveal that quantum computers can solve certain explicit problems more efficiently than any known classical algorithm. Despite these theoretical advantages, practical implementation faces significant challenges concerning error correction and decoherence.

Quantum Bits: A quantum computer stores information in quantum bits (qubits), which are the quantum counterpart to classical bits (Malenko, 2017). The fundamental difference stems from the fact that a two-state quantum system can exist as a superposition of its basis states (G. Rieffel & Polak, 1998). In the Dirac bra-ket notation, these basis states correspond to The state of qubit 1 can therefore be described as an arrow in a two-dimensional Hilbert space, which can be represented as a linear superposition of the basis vectors |0 angle and |1 angle, described by

where the norm of vectors |0 angle and |1 angle are equal to 1, and

Such a superposition state cannot be simulated by any probabilistic combination of classical bits. The coefficients as well as the environmental noise, change with time for an arbitrary quantum state. Consider a quantum bit with initial state |ø(0) angle. Some time later, the state will change to |ø(t) angle, which is given by where is called a unitary transform and represents the evolution of a quantum system. Equation guarantees that the norm of |ø(t) angle is also equal to 1. Just as the involvement of probability amplitudes in the rules of quantum mechanics leads to interference phenomena, the use of complex coefficients in a linear superposition allows possible cancellation in a quantum computation. This can be regarded as the mechanism behind the fact that some quantum algorithms require fewer operations than any known classical algorithm.

Superposition: The principle of superposition, a hallmark of quantum mechanics, enables uniquely quantum computational paradigms. Quantum information is generally represented by pure states of two-level quantum systems—for instance, quantized spin components of an electron or photon polarization. Any quantum state orthogonal to such a basis state must also be considered a (pure) computational basis state (Dogra et al., 2017). Thus, although an n-qubit quantum register has the same physical size as an n-bit classical register, it can exist in the superposition of all 2^n classical states. This allows quantum parallelism, permitting computations with

enormous state spaces to be carried out with a relatively small number of qubits. The notion of superposition also relates to observables: observables correspond to Hermitian operators, which can be decomposed into eigenvectors. A quantum system can be in a superposition over these eigenstates, resulting in uncertainty for a measurement; nevertheless, the c-number (through its real coefficients) must be related appropriately. This principle underpins the exponential advantage of quantum parallelism (G. Rieffel & Polak, 1998).

Entanglement: streams of single-photon wavepackets are indistinguishable and no which-path information is available, the photons become entangled upon exiting the beamsplitter. This entanglement is verified through Bell-type measurements that violate the Clauser–Holt–Shimony–Horne inequality and the Peres criterion for separability (Klco et al., 2021).

Quantum Circuits: Theoretically underpinning a diverse range of quantum circuits' subdomains, and with a view to elucidating the emergent challenges associated with each, four principal theoretical frameworks of quantum computing are explicated in this section: "Quantum Bits", the basic unit of quantum information; "Superposition and Entanglement", fundamental quantum properties vital for an alternative computational methodology; "Quantum Circuits and the Quantum Turing Machine", an extension of the conventional Turing Machine that couples the classical computational architecture with the mechanisms of Quantum Theory; "Quantum Algorithms", exemplified by Shor's factoring algorithm and Grover's database search, which capable of operation at an unprecedented, exponential level of efficiency with respect to their classical counterparts (Mohamed Beillahi, 2016). As beset by difficulties at each stage, such enduring issues subsequently confound the probability of broad, sweeping applicability within the imminent future. The derivation of feasible solutions, however, could serve to substantiate the fifth postulate of quantum mechanics.

Even the adroit Bohr can scarcely have envisaged how the quantum-realm would ultimately reconfigure the theoretical foundations of contemporary computer science. A spectrum of conceptual, architectural, and implementational complications are instigated by the physical embodiment of a computational system. Given quantum mechanics constitutes the framework of physical theory governing nature at the atomic scale, its relegation to a guarantor of nanoscale processing is inevitable. Further accentuated by the advent of quantum-algorithms, it indicated the potential for a profound shift in the ultimate limitations of computers, whilst enabling the construction of new technology radically capable of exceeding the performance of classical methods.

Turing Models: The study of classical computation models is well-developed, whereas numerous open problems persist in quantum information and quantum computing, including quantum tomography, simulation, and algorithm design. Among them, the quantum circuit model (QCM) has emerged as the dominant paradigm (-S. Wang, 2021). QCM employs a sequence of gates to achieve state conversion. Each gate is specified classically by its type and location; its physical implementation is not part of the theory (A. Miszczak, 2010). In the circuit approach, the critical operation is the transformation of an initial quantum state into a desired final state via a series of unitary operations. The extended Turing machine has been generalized to the quantum domain by quantizing the read/write head, which subsequently allows for nonlocal interactions belied by the classical analogy. Models featuring a localized head have been demonstrated to be equivalent to QCM, thereby facilitating the conversion from an initial to a final quantum state.

Quantum Algorithms: Quantum computing leverages superconducting circuits and

ion traps, allowing the creation of high-fidelity two-qubit gates, and applications span communication, simulation, cryptography, and quantum-optical processing (G. Rieffel & Polak, 1998). Evolving fields include machine learning, analog simulations, and optimization challenges. Developing novel programming techniques involves managing phase adjustments and manipulating amplitudes. Quantum effects—entanglement, exponential state space, and linear transformations—enable parallelism that can emulate any classical algorithm, although the exponential capacity demands innovative programming strategies. Among the known algorithms, Shor's polynomial-time factorization poses a threat to classical cryptography, while Grover's search algorithm offers a polynomial speed-up, affirming quantum computers' superior power; additional methods address balanced-versus-constant-function problems, median estimation, and coin weighing with reduced queries.

Emerging Challenges

The remarkable benefits offered by quantum algorithms such as Shor's and Grover's come with significant challenges. Sophisticated error correction techniques are needed to maintain qubit precision and prevent fragile states from collapsing, yet benchmarking remains difficult. Physical qubits tend to have short lifespans, and maintaining coherence becomes increasingly complicated as the number of qubits grows. Scalability is thus severely constrained by hardware limitations, impeding the construction of large quantum computers. Computational complexity issues also arise: without firmly establishing a formal relationship between the computational complexity classes of quantum and classical models, the impact of such complexity on algorithmic feasibility remains uncertain (Martonosi & Roetteler, 2019). These challenges indicate that before the theoretical advantages can be fully realised, engineering and scientific barriers must be overcome (Boughzala et al., 2022).

(i) Error Correction: The acquisition and preservation of stable qubits constitute the principal impediment to the realization of a practical quantum computer. Analogous to classical computers, which employ error-correcting codes to identify and amend bit-flip anomalies within the computed states, quantum computation necessitates the development of appropriate error-correction mechanisms to mitigate operational instabilities. The inherently unstable quantum information encoded within a qubit frequently surpasses analogous error-tolerance levels in classical configurations, and the deleterious effects of decoherence exert a fundamental constraint on the viability of protracted quantum computations. While quantum error correction schemes exist under specific operational prerequisites, contemporary implementations remain impracticable for large-scale problems involving hundreds or thousands of qubits. Moreover, quantum noise is frequently appraised using classical metrics that fail to account for the correlations manifested between consecutive measurement outcomes. A comprehensive understanding of the intrinsic properties of quantum noise represents a critical research avenue (Grurl et al., 2023).

By extension, the quantum bit—or qubit—represents the fundamental unit of quantum information embodying the corresponding quantum state. Electron spins, atomic energy levels, photon polarization, and superconducting Josephson junctions exemplify among the excogitated constructs to emulate a qubit (Chatterjee et al., 2023). Larger-scale structural configurations of quantum circuitry incorporate multiple qubits as integral components within their architecture. Alterations in the states of individual qubits presage variations in the global quantum state, with the amplitude probabilities of the former maintained as complex coefficients within the probability amalgam of the latter. Consequently, reformulations of qubit states induce solvable transformations of

the aggregate state. Quantum information undergoes propagation and transformation as a function of the quantum gates and circuits to which the multicomponent system remains exposed.

(ii) Decoherence: Quantum decoherence plays a pivotal role in the dynamical description of the quantum-to-classical transition and is the main impediment to the realization of devices for quantum information processing. We introduce the essential concepts and the mathematical formalism of decoherence, focusing on the picture of the decoherence process as a continuous monitoring of a quantum system by its environment. We review several classes of decoherence models and discuss the description of the decoherence dynamics in terms of master equations. We survey methods for avoiding and mitigating decoherence and give an overview of several experiments that have studied decoherence processes. We also comment on the role decoherence may play in interpretations of quantum mechanics and in addressing foundational questions (Schlosshauer, 2019). This section describes the mathematical framework of time-dependent quantum decoherence and derives rigorous and fully quantitative results on the Bures distance between a maximally entangled Schrödinger-cat state and the set of all separable states, showing that all macroscopic quantum superpositions based on high-gain, phase-covariant quantum cloning are very resilient to decoherence. These findings are fully consistent with the results of recent experimental investigations, reporting the generation of multi-particle quantum superposition involving up to 12 photons (Aharonov & Ben-Or, 1996).

(iii) Scalability: Executing quantum algorithms with a capacity that outperforms classical computers calls for quantum computers to consist of millions of qubits. Nevertheless, the current physical qubit count of the most advanced prototypes is confined to a few hundred. Under these conditions, multiple quantum processors are likely to collaborate in executing any quantum routine whose footprint goes beyond the scope of a single processing device. Distributed quantum computing paves the way for scaling quantum systems by enabling remote qubits to interact through classical and quantum links. This paradigm therefore envisions a multitude of medium-scale quantum computers coupling and cooperating to carry out computational tasks in excess of the computational resources available within an individual processing unit.

The upcoming five to ten years should bring systems comprising hundreds of qubits with considerably lower error rates, providing the initial evidence for quantum capability surpassing present-day classical computers on specific problems. Yet, the number of qubits must be further multiplied and error rates must be reduced yet again to move beyond a demonstration of quantum advantage and into the realm of quantum utility. The ongoing progress will be fostered by improvements in error-correction schemes along with the advent of new physical systems and refinement of hardware and software stacks. Architectures and microarchitectures must continue to evolve in order to accommodate the larger system sizes. These efforts call for collaboration between computer scientists, engineers, and physicists. (Caleffi et al., 2022)

(iv) Hardware Constraints: Quantum computing systems are currently limited in size and must meet several architectural requirements to serve as universal quantum computers (Grace Croot, 2017). A large-scale universal quantum computer requires thousands or even millions of qubits (Sodhi & Kapur, 2021). Nearly all physical qubits have a decoherence time ranging from microseconds to seconds, during which they must execute thousands of quantum gates. Most quantum computing platforms, such as those employing superconducting qubits (used by IBM, Google, and Rigetti) or trapped-ion qubits currently operating at temperatures around 100 millikelvin, require

extremely low operating temperatures, necessitating dilution refrigerators. Quantum processors are typically controlled using microwave and radio-frequency electronics.

(v) Computational Complexity: Theoretical information-theoretic tools quantify the reduction of communication complexity attainable by a quantum channel. Considering a black box communication complexity problem, there is a link between its value and the tensor product representation of the function f(x,y) that defines the problem. The results are derived using tools typical of Quantum Field Theory and Functional Analysis, such as Grothendieck-type inequalities and the theory of types of Banach spaces. The importance of Grothendieck's inequality and related mathematical tools in Quantum Information Theory is becoming increasingly clear (G. Rieffel & Polak, 1998). The Grothendieck constant is an upper bound on the largest violation of a Bell inequality.

Algorithms like Shor's for prime factorization and Grover's for database search are cornerstones in the quest for quantum computational superiority. Despite the compelling computational advantages offered by these algorithms, the practical realization of quantum computers capable of running them at scale remains elusive. A significant hurdle is the challenge of error correction and the impacts of decoherence on qubit stability. A quantum error-correcting scheme allows quantum information to be protected and manipulated even when every physical qubit is subject to severe noise. Quantum circuits in a noisy environment can be simulated with perfect accuracy provided the error per gate is below a certain threshold. The quest to determine the maximal tolerable error rate for fault-tolerant quantum computation continues.

From a complexity-theoretic standpoint, there is evidence suggesting that the class NP-complete is unlikely to be contained in the class BQP. Beyond fundamental theoretical interests, understanding the limits of quantum computational speed-ups has practical implications for the field.

Conclusion

Quantum computing presents the possibility of a fundamentally different form of computation, offering the potential to address computational problems more efficiently. Quantum parallelism and quantum interference facilitate new algorithmic strategies, such as Shor's algorithm for factoring integers in polynomial time and Grover's algorithm for unsorted database search with O(N1/2) complexity. These exponential and quadratic speedups make quantum algorithms a promising path toward tackling classically intractable problems and have significant implications for fields like cryptography, which face fundamental limitations when challenged by quantum algorithms (Martonosi & Roetteler, 2019). Despite these theoretical advantages, existing quantum computers are limited by significant challenges. Error-correction methods remain rudimentary, and expected gate error rates of machine qubits are orders of magnitude higher than those seen in classical gate computations. Additionally, maintaining quantum coherence is problematic, and existing qubit implementations are difficult to scale to the numbers required for meaningful computational advantage. Quantum-computational complexity theory uncovers extended classes of problems that quantum computers can solve but also demonstrates cases with no known asymptotically faster quantum solutions, such as the graph-isomorphism problem and NP-complete problems (Boughzala et al., 2022). Given these obstacles, the quantum-computing community continues to explore several promising paths toward progress and seeks new application domains where tempered quantum-computing capability might have outsized impact (Basak Upama et al., 2022).

Author's Declaration:

The views and contents expressed in this research article are solely those of the author(s). The publisher, editors, and reviewers shall not be held responsible for any errors, ethical misconduct, copyright infringement, defamation, or any legal consequences arising from the content. All legal and moral responsibilities lie solely with the author(s)

References:

1. Boughzala, I., Ben Yahia, N., Bellamine Ben Saoud, N., & Eljaoued, W. (2022). Shape it better than skip it: Mapping the territory of quantum computing and its transformative potential. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2211.16205
2. Basak Upama, P., Jobair Hossain Faruk, M., Nazim, M., Masum, M., Shahriar, H., Uddin, G., Barzanjeh, S., Iqbal Ahamed, S., & Rahman, A. (2022). Evolution of quantum computing: A systematic survey on the use of quantum computing tools. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2204.01856
3. Duckering, C. (2023). New abstractions for quantum computing. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2303.02578
4. Martonosi, M., & Roetteler, M. (2019). Next steps in quantum computing: Computer science's role. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1903.10541
5. Rieffel, G. R., & Polak, W. (1998). An introduction to quantum computing for non-physicists. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/9809016
6. Malenko, K. (2017). The consequences of quantum computing. https://core.ac.uk/download/151479073.pdf
7. Dogra, S., Thomas, G., Ghosh, S., & Suter, D. (2017). Superposing pure quantum states with partial prior information. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1702.02418
8. Klco, N., Roggero, A., & Savage, M. J. (2021). Standard model physics and the digital quantum revolution: Thoughts about the interface. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2107.04769
9. Mohamed Beillahi, S. (2016). Towards the design automation of quantum circuits. https://core.ac.uk/download/211519066.pdf
10. Wang, D. S. (2021). A comparative study of universal quantum computing models: Towards a physical unification. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2108.07909
11. Miszczak, J. A. (2010). Models of quantum computation and quantum programming languages. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1012.6035
12. Grurl, T., Pichler, C., Fuß, J., & Wille, R. (2023). Automatic implementation and evaluation of error-correcting codes for quantum computing: An open-source framework for quantum error correction. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2301.05731
13. Chatterjee, A., Phalak, K., & Ghosh, S. (2023). Quantum error correction for dummies. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2304.08678
14. Schlosshauer, M. (2019). Quantum decoherence. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1911.06282
15. Aharonov, D., & Ben-Or, M. (1996). Polynomial simulations of decohered quantum computers. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/9611029
16. Caleffi, M., Amoretti, M., Ferrari, D., Cuomo, D., Illiano, J., Manzalini, A., & Cacciapuoti, A. S. (2022). Distributed quantum computing: A survey. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2212.10609
17. Grace Croot, X. (2017). The environment and interactions of electrons in GaAs quantum dots. https://core.ac.uk/download/212692657.pdf
18. Sodhi, B., & Kapur, R. (2021). Quantum computing platforms: Assessing the impact on quality attributes and SDLC activities. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2104.14261
19. Mavroeidis, V., Vishi, K., Zych, M. D., & Jøsang, A. (2018). The impact of quantum computing on present cryptography. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1804.00200
20. Marron, Z. (2018). Quantum attacks on modern cryptography and post-quantum

cryptosystems. https://core.ac.uk/download/158970270.pdf

21. Brown, S. M. (2004). Classical cryptosystems in a quantum setting. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0404061
22. Abbas, A., Ambainis, A., Augustino, B., Bärtschi, A., Buhrman, H., Coffrin, C., Cortiana, G., Dunjko, V., Egger, D. J., Elmegreen, B. G., Franco, N., Fratini, F., Fuller, B., Gacon, J., Gonciulea, C., Gribling, S., Gupta, S., Hadfield, S., Heese, R., Kircher, G., Kleinert, T., Koch, T., Korpas, G., Lenk, S., Marecek, J., Markov, V., Mazzola, G., Mensa, S., Mohseni, N., Nannicini, G., O'Meara, C., Peña Tapia, E., Pokutta, S., Proissl, M., Rebentrost, P., Sahin, E., Symons, B. C. B., Tornow, S., Valls, V., Woerner, S., Wolf-Bauwens, M. L., Yard, J., Yarkoni, S., Zechiel, D., Zhuk, S., & Zoufal, C. (2023). Quantum optimization: Potential, challenges, and the path forward. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2312.02279
23. Miakisz, K., Piotrowski, E. W., & Sladkowski, J. (2004). Quantization of games: Towards quantum artificial intelligence. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0412215
24. Gao, X., Zhang, Z. Y., & Duan, L. M. (2018). A quantum machine learning algorithm based on generative models. Frontiers in Physics, 6, Article 133. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6286170/
25. Viamontes, G. F., Rajagopalan, M., Markov, I. L., & Hayes, J. P. (2002). Gate-level simulation of quantum circuits. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0208003

Cite this Article-

"Sharthak Singh; Dr. Shailendra Singh" "Exploring the Realm of Quantum Computing: Theoretical Frameworks and Emerging Challenges in Computer Science", Procedure International Journal of Science and Technology (PIJST), ISSN: 2584-2617 (Online), Volume:2, Issue:9, September 2025.

Journal URL- https://www.pijst.com/

DOI- 10.62796/pijst

Published Date- 01/09/2025